

Existence of Fractional Impulsive Functional Integro-Differential Equations in Banach Spaces

D. N. Chalişhajar^{1*}, C. Ravichandran², S. Dhanalakshmi³, R. Murugesu⁴

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Virginia Military Institute (VMI), 431, Mallory Hall, Lexington, VA 24450, USA

²Department of Mathematics, KPR Institute of Engineering and Technology, Arasur, Coimbatore - 641 407, Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Mathematics (UA), Kongunadu Arts and Science College, Coimbatore{641 029, Tamilnadu, India.

⁴Department of Mathematics, SRMV College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore-641020, Tamilnadu, India.

*Correspondence to: D. N. Chalişhajar; Department of Applied Mathematics, Virginia Military Institute (VMI), 431, Mallory Hall, Lexington, VA 24450, USA; E-mail: chalişhajardn@vmi.edu

Received: February 25th, 2019; Revised: March 25th, 2019; Accepted: June 15th, 2019; Published: August 30th, 2019

Citation: D. N. Chalişhajar, C. Ravichandran, S. Dhanalakshmi, R. Murugesu. Existence of fractional impulsive functional integro-differential equations in Banach spaces. *Math and Human Eng Open A Open J.* 2019; I(1): 9-18

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we established the existence of piece wise (PC)-mild solutions for non local fractional impulsive functional integro-differential equations with finite delay. The proofs are obtained using techniques of fixed point theorems, semi-group theory and generalized Bellman inequality. In this paper, we have used the distributed characteristic operators to define mild solution of the system. We also discussed the controversy related to the solution operator. Examples are given to illustrate the theory.

Keywords: Fractional differential equations; Impulse; Integro-differential equations; Non local conditions; Fixed point theorem.

INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus has gained considerable popularity and importance during the past four decades, because fractional derivatives provide an excellent tool for the description of memory and hereditary properties of various processes. Fractional differential equations draw great applications in many physical phenomena such as seepage flow in porous media and in fluid dynamic transport models. The most important advantage of using fractional differential equations in these and other applications is their non-local property. Also, the study of fractional differential equations have gained considerable importance due to their application in various fields of bio-engineering, mechanics, electrical networks, control theory of dynamical systems, viscoelasticity and so on. Viscoelasticity and related phenomena are of great importance in the study of mechanical properties of material especially, biological materials. Certain materials show some complex effects in mechanical tests, which cannot be described by standard linear equation (SLE) mostly owing to shape memory effect during deformation. Recently,

researchers have been applying fractional calculus in order for probing viscoelasticity of such materials with a high precision. Fractional calculus is a powerful tool for modeling complex phenomenon. More specifically, modeling the shape memory phenomena with this powerful tool are studied from different perspectives, as well as presented some physical interpretation. There are different models to evaluate and to predict the constitutive equation in the viscoelastic system, these models commonly, composing of a different combination of springs and dampers elements. For example, two well-known models to name are Maxwell and Kelvin models. Fractional Calculus is a new powerful tool which has been recently employed to model complex biological systems with non-linear behavior and long-term memory. In recent years there has been a significant development in fractional differential equations involving fractional derivatives, see the monographs of [1, 20, 22, 24, 26, 32, 38] and the papers [2, 5, 7, 17, 18, 25, 27, 28, 30, 39, 42].

The study of impulsive differential equation is linked to their utility in simulating processes and phenomena subject to short time perturbations during their evolution. The perturbations are performed discretely and their duration is negligible in comparison with the total duration of the processes and phenomena. Integro-differential equations play an important role in many branches of linear and nonlinear functional analysis and their applications in the theory of engineering, mechanics, physics, chemistry, biology, economics, and electrostatics. In recent years, impulsive integro-differential equations have become an important object of investigation stimulated by their numerous applications to problems in mechanics, electrical engineering, medicine, biology, ecology etc, we refer [3, 4, 8, 12, 13, 19, 21, 34][36, 45]. Dynamics of many evolutionary processes from various elds such as population dynamics, control theory, physics, biology, and medicine undergo abrupt changes at certain moments of time like earth quack, harvesting, shock, and so forth. These perturbations can be well approximated as instantaneous change of states or impulses. These processes are modeled by impulsive differential equations. The advantage of using non-local conditions is they are measurable at more places and those can be incorporated to get better models. The non-local Cauchy problem for abstract evolution differential equation was rst studied by Byszewski [10]. For the importance of non-local conditions in different elds, we refer the reader to [9, 11, 29, 44].

Recently in [33], the authors studied the existence of mild solutions for impulsive fractional semi-linear integro-differential equations using Banach contraction principle and Schaefer’s fixed point theorem. They have considered the system immediately and without non-local condition. Our work generalizes the work done in [33] with abstract formulation. According to our knowledge, this is an untreated article in the literature.

Motivated by the above-mentioned paper, we study the existence of mild solutions for non-local impulsive fractional semi-linear integro-differential equations of the form

$${}^C D_{0^+}^q x(t) = {}^C D_t^q x(t) = Ax(t) + f\left(t, x_t, \int_0^t h(t, s, x_s) ds, \int_0^b k(t, s, x_s) ds\right), \quad (1.1)$$

$$x(0) = x_0 + g(x) \in X, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Delta x|_{t=t_k} = I_k(x(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m; \quad t \in J = [0, b], \quad t \neq t_k, \quad (1.3)$$

Where ${}^C D_t^q$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $q, 0 < q < 1$, with lower limit zero, the histories $x_t : (-r, 0] \rightarrow X$ are defined by $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$ belongs to a Banach space X .

$A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of a uniformly bounded operator on X , and A is a bounded linear operator. $f : J \times X \times X \times \rightarrow X$ is jointly continuous, $h, k : J \times J \times X \rightarrow X$ are continuous, $I_k : X \rightarrow X$ are impulsive functions,

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < t_m + 1 = b,$$

$$\Delta_x |_{t=t_k} = x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-), \quad x(t_k^+) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} x(t_k + h)$$

and $x(t_k^-) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} x(t_k + h)$ represent the right and left limits of $x(t)$ at $t = tk$ respectively.

Our method avoids the compactness conditions on the semi-group $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, and some other hypotheses are more general compared with the previous research papers.

System (1.1)-(1.3) described above ts to the mechanical system with impact, the biological phenomenon involving thresholds, the bursting rhythm models and industrial robotics, and many more. In particular, above mentioned system (1.1)-(1.3) and its PC-mild solution (in Section 2) is helpful to generate tuning and auto-tuning of fractional order controllers for industry applications. Monje et al.[47] design the fractional order PID controllers to ensuring a robust performance of the controlled system with respect to gain variations and noise, without using the delay part, but can be generalized in a more specic way using the delay for a closed loop and the open loop systems. System (1.1)-(1.3) can also be analyzed for a nite time stability test procedure for robotic system where it appears a time delay in fractional control system (refer [48]) but with impulses.

In Section 2, we give some preliminary denitions and lemmas those are to be used later to prove our main results. In Section 3, the existence of PC-mild solutions for equations (1.1)-(1.3) with nonlocal conditions is discussed. The results are obtained by using Banach contraction principle and Schaefer’s fixed point theorem. An example is given in Section 4 to illustrate the application of our main results.

PRELIMINARIES

Let us consider the set of functions $PC[J, X] = \{x : J \rightarrow X \mid x \in C[(t_k, t_{k+1}), X]$ and there exists $x(t_k^+)$ and $x(t_k^-)$ $k = 0, 1, 2 \dots m$ and $x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)\}$, endowed with the norm $\|x\|_{pc} = \sup_{t \in J} \|x(t)\|$. It is

easy to know that $(PC[J, X], \| \cdot \|_{pc})$ is a Banach space. Throughout this Paper, let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semi-group $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of a uniformly bounded operators on X and let $LB(X)$ be the Banach space of all linear and bounded operators on X .

For a C_0 semi-group $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$, we set

$$M = \sup_{t \in J} \|T(t)\| L_{B(X)}.$$

For each positive constant r , set $Br = \{x \in PC[J, X] \mid \|x\| \leq r\}$.

Definition 2.1. The fractional integral of order α with the lower limit zero for a function f is defined as

$$I^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}} ds, \quad t > 0, \alpha > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

provided the right hand-side is point-wise defined on $[0, \infty)$, where

$\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function, which is defined by $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$

Definition 2.2. The Riemann-Liouville (R-L) fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0, n-1 < \alpha < n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined as

$$(R-L)D_{0+}^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) ds, \quad (2.2)$$

where the function $f(t)$ has absolutely continuous derivative up to order $(n-1)$.

Definition 2.3. The (strong or classical) Caputo derivative of order α for a $f \in L^1([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ function given on the interval $[0, \infty)$ is defined by (if it exists)

$${}^c D_s^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha+1-n}} ds, \quad t > 0, n-1 < \alpha \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.1.

1. R-L and Caputo are just two different operators that are related to each other in a quite simple way. Quite a few details about this are given in the book of Diethelm [46]. There we can also see the exact description of when they are equivalent. The most important difference between them is, of course, the structure of their kernels (i.e the set of functions that is mapped to zero). Depending on what we want from our operator, one of them or the other one may be the right choice for us. We are using here Caputo because derivative of a constant is zero for Caputo but not for R-L.

2. If derivative of Caputo type are used instead of R-L type then initial conditions for the corresponding Caputo fractional differential equations can be formulated as for classical ordinary equations, namely $x(0) = x_0$:

3. One has to make sure using a constant function and the Heaviside unit step. They must be considered different so they must have different fractional derivatives. The Heaviside unit step is expected to have a non-zero fractional derivative. If one given derivative gives, zero it is useless due to the importance it enjoys in practice and its relation with the Dirac delta.

Definition 2.4. The (weak or generalization of classical) Caputo derivative of order α for a function $f \in L^1([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ given on the interval $[0, \infty)$ is defined by (if it exists)

$${}^c D_\omega^\alpha f(t) = {}^c D^\alpha f(t) = D^\alpha \left(f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(0) \right), \quad t > 0, n-1 < \alpha \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 2.2. 1. $f(t) \in C^n[0, \infty)$ then

$${}^c D_\omega^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha+1-n}} ds = I^{n-\alpha} f^{(n)}(t) = {}^c D_s^\alpha f(t), \quad t > 0, n-1 < \alpha \leq n. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that for strong Caputo derivative in Definition 2.3, $f \in C^n([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ is not necessarily required. In fact, $f \in L^1([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, for example, $f^{(n-1)}$ be of bounded variation, can guarantee the existence of $D_s^\alpha f(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.5. [42] By a PC-mild solution of the equations (1.1)-(1.3) we mean that a function $x \in PC[J, X]$ which satisfies the following integral equation:

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in [0, t_1], \\ \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \mathcal{T}(t-t_1) I_1(x(t_1^-)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_1, t_2], \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}(t-t_k) I_k(x(t_k^-)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_m, b], \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\mathcal{T}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ are called characteristic solution operators and given by

$$\mathcal{T}(t) = \int_0^\infty \xi_q(\theta) \mathcal{T}(t^q \theta), \quad \mathcal{S}(t) = q \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_q(\theta) \mathcal{T}(t^q \theta) d\theta, \quad (2.7)$$

and for $\theta \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\xi_q(\theta) = \frac{1}{q} \theta^{-1-\frac{1}{q}} \varpi_q \left(\theta^{-\frac{1}{q}} \right) \geq 0, \quad \varpi_q(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-1)^{n-1} \theta^{-qn-1} \frac{\Gamma(nq+1)}{n!} \sin(n\pi q), \quad (2.8)$$

where ξ_q is a probability density function defined on $(0, \infty)$, that is

$$\xi_q(\theta) \geq 0, \theta \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } \int_0^\infty \xi_q(\theta) d\theta = 1. \quad (2.9)$$

Remark 2.3. Controversy on the solution operator, Definition 2.5, based on Definition 2.3 and Definition 2.4:

1. In this paper we emphasize that we use the generalized Caputo derivative with the lower bound at zero for the equation (1.1). However, we have not chosen the classical Caputo derivative and have not changed it in each sub-intervals for the equation (1.1), where the impulses start at the lower bound tk: Obviously, we mean keeping a different one, in each of the impulses the lower bound is at zero. Moreover, Definition 2.5 is more reasonable since the generalized Caputo derivative in the equation (1.1) should be fixed at the lower bound at zero once we set initial time at zero. Therefore, we do not expect to change the lower bound repeatedly in the Definition of Caputo derivative for the same equation.

2. We use Definition 2.4 (generalized Caputo derivative), where the integrable function can be discontinuous. Definition 2.4 is more general with respect to Remark 2.2 (1) (relationship between strong and weak Caputo derivatives). Therefore, result would be wrong if we have used strong Caputo derivative.

3. Finally, we would like to mention the recently published paper written by Liu and Ahmed [43], where the formula of solutions for semi-linear impulsive fractional Cauchy problems (see (20) in [43]) is coincided with ours (see Definition 2.5), if one imposes that the semi-linear term and the impulsive term have the same expression in the given interval. Remark 2.4. Problems associated with impulsive effects and hereditary property are modeled by impulsive delay differential equations. So we use impulsive infinite delay system (1.1)-(1.3) and the solution is a piecewise continuous with discontinuities at impulses time. So, here the mild solution is called PC-mild solution. (As we know a function x is continuous is said to be a mild solution. A function x which is differential almost everywhere on $[0; T]$ is called a strong solution. Clearly, every strong solution is a mild solution, since differentiability implies continuity).

Definition 2.6. [31] Let X be a Banach space, a one-parameter family $T(t), 0 \leq t < +\infty$, of bounded linear operators from X to X is a semi-group of bounded linear operators on X if

- (1) $T(0) = I$, (here I is the identity operator on X)
 - (2) $T(t + s) = T(t)T(s)$ for every $t, s \geq 0$, (the semi-group property)
- A semi-group of bounded linear operator, $T(t)$, is uniformly continuous if $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|T(t) - I\| = 0$.

A semi-group of bounded linear operator, $T(t)$, is uniformly continuous if $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|T(t) - I\| = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. [31] Linear operator A is the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous semi-group if and only if A is the bounded linear operator.

Lemma 2.2. [37] (Schaefer's fixed-point theorem) Let X be a Banach space and $F : X \rightarrow X$ be a completely continuous operator. If the set

$$E(F) = \{x \in X : x = \lambda Fx \text{ for some } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}. \quad (2.10)$$

is bounded, then F has at least a fixed point.

Lemma 2.3. [42] The operator $T(t)$ and $S(t)$ have the following properties:

- 1. For any fixed $t \geq 0$, $T(t)$ and $S(t)$ linear and bounded operator, i.e. for any $x \in X$,

$$\|T(t)x\| \leq M_1 \|x\|, \quad \|S(t)x\| \leq \frac{qM_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \|x\|. \quad (2.11)$$

- 2. $\{T(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{S(t), t \geq 0\}$ are strongly continuous.
- 3. $\{T(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{S(t), t \geq 0\}$ are uniformly continuous, that is, for each fixed $t > 0$, and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $h > 0$ such that

$$\|T(t+\epsilon) - T(t)\| \leq \epsilon, \text{ for } t+\epsilon \geq 0 \text{ and } |\epsilon| < h, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\|S(t+\epsilon) - S(t)\| \leq \epsilon, \text{ for } t+\epsilon \geq 0 \text{ and } |\epsilon| < h. \quad (2.13)$$

EXISTENCE RESULTS

In this section, we give the existence of mild solutions of the system (1:1)-(1:3). To establish our results, we introduce the following hypotheses:

(H1) $f : J \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous, and there exists functions $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in L[J, R^+]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(t, y_1, y_2, y_3)\| \\ & \leq \mu_1(t) \|x_1 - y_1\| + \mu_2(t) \|x_2 - y_2\| + \mu_3(t) \|x_3 - y_3\|, \\ & x_i, y_i \in X, i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

(H2) $h, k : J \times J \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and there exist $M_h, M_k > 0$ such that's

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(t, s, x_1) - h(t, s, y_1)\| &\leq M_h \|x_1 - y_1\|, \\ \|k(t, s, x_1) - k(t, s, y_1)\| &\leq M_k \|x_1 - y_1\|, \quad x_1, y_1 \in \bar{X}. \end{aligned}$$

(H3) $g: PC([0; b]; X)$ is continuous and there exists a constant $G > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x) - g(y)\| &\leq G \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in PC([0, b], X) \\ \|g(0)\| &\leq k_1. \end{aligned}$$

(H4) The function $I_k: X \rightarrow X$ are continuous and there exist $\rho_k > 0$ such that

$$\|Ik(x) - Ik(y)\| \leq \rho_k \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

(H5) The function $\Omega_m(t): J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$\Omega_m(t) = M_1(G + m\rho_m) + \frac{M_1 b^q}{\Gamma(1+q)} (\mu_1(t) + \mu_2(t)M_h b + \mu_3(t)M_k b),$$

where $0 < \Omega_m(t) < 1, t \in J$.

(H6) The constants Ω_u and $\Omega'_m(t): J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_u &= M_1 K(G + m\rho_m) + \frac{M_1 b^q K}{\Gamma(1+q)} (\mu_1(t) + \mu_2(t)M_h b + \mu_3(t)M_k b), \\ \Omega'_m(t) &= M_1 K(G + m\rho_m) + \frac{M_1 b^q K}{\Gamma(1+q)} (\mu_1(t) + \mu_2(t)M_h b + \mu_3(t)M_k b) + \frac{M_1 b^q \Omega_u}{\Gamma(1+q)} \end{aligned}$$

and $0 < \Omega'_m(t) < 1, t \in J$.

Theorem 3.1. If the hypotheses (H1) - (H5) are satisfied, then the nonlocal fractional impulsive integro-differential equations (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique mild solution $x \in PC[J, X]$.

Proof: Define an operator N on $PC[J; X]$ by

$$(Nx)(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in [0, t_1], \\ \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \mathcal{T}(t-t_1)I_1(x(t_1^-)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_1, t_2], \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}(t-t_k)I_k(x(t_k^-)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_m, b]. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

We shall show that N is well defined on $PC[J; X]$. For $0 \leq \tau < t \leq t_1$, applying (3.1), we Obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Nx)(t) - (Ny)(\tau)\| &\leq \|\mathcal{T}(t) - \mathcal{T}(\tau)\| \|x_0 + g(x)\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{T}(t) - \mathcal{T}(\tau)\| (\|x_0\| + G\|x\| + k_1) \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_\tau^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^\tau (t-s)^{q-1} [\mathcal{S}(t-s) - \mathcal{S}(\tau-s)] \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^\tau [(t-s)^{q-1} - (\tau-s)^{q-1}] \mathcal{S}(\tau-s) \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the inequality $|t^\sigma - \tau^\sigma| \leq (t-\tau)^\sigma$ for $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < \tau \leq t$ and Lemma 2.3, it is obviously that $\|(Nx)(t) - (Nx)(\tau)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \tau$. Thus, $Nx \in [0, t_1], X$.

For $t_1 < \tau < t \leq t_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Nx)(t) - (Nx)(\tau)\| &\leq \|\mathcal{T}(t) - \mathcal{T}(\tau)\| (\|x_0\| + G\|x\| + k) \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{T}(t-t_1) - \mathcal{T}(\tau-t_1)\| \|I_1(x(t_1^-))\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_\tau^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^\tau (t-s)^{q-1} [\mathcal{S}(t-s) - \mathcal{S}(\tau-s)] \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^\tau [(t-s)^{q-1} - (\tau-s)^{q-1}] \mathcal{S}(\tau-s) \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to get, as $t \rightarrow \tau$, the right hand side of the above inequality tends to zero. Thus, we can deduce that $Nx \in C[t_1, t_2], X$. By repeating the same procedure, we can also obtain that

$$Nx \in C[t_1, t_2], X, \dots, Nx \in C[t_m, b], X. \quad \text{That is } Nx \in PC[J, X]. \text{ Take } t \in (0, t_1], \text{ then}$$

$$\|(Nx)(t) - (Ny)(t)\| \leq M_1 G \|x - y\|_{PC} + \frac{qM_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} [\mu_1(s)\|x_s - y_s\| + \mu_2(s)M_h b \|x_s - y_s\| + \mu_3(s)M_k b \|x_s - y_s\|] ds.$$

So we deduce that

$$\|(Nx)(t) - (Ny)(t)\|_{PC} \leq \left[M_1 G + \frac{M_1 b^q}{\Gamma(1+q)} (\mu_1(t) + \mu_2(t)M_h b + \mu_3(t)M_k b) \right] \|x - y\|_{PC} \quad (3.2)$$

For each $t \in (t_1, t_2]$, using hypotheses, and (3.2), we have

$$\|(Nx)(t) - (Ny)(t)\|_{PC} \leq \left[M_1(G + \rho_1) + \frac{M_1 b^q}{\Gamma(1+q)} (\mu_1(t) + \mu_2(t)M_h b + \mu_3(t)M_k b) \right] \|x - y\|_{PC}$$

In general, for each $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$, using (H5)

$$\|(Nx)(t) - (Ny)(t)\|_{PC} \leq [M_1(G + \rho_1) + \frac{M_1 b^q}{\Gamma(1+q)} (\mu_1(t) + \mu_2(t)M_h b + \mu_3(t)M_k b)] \|x - y\|_{PC}$$

From the assumption (H5) and in the view of the contraction mapping principle, N has a unique fixed point $x \in PC[J, X]$, that is

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in [0, t_1], \\ \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \mathcal{T}(t-t_1)I_1(x(t_1^-)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_1, t_2], \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}(t-t_k)I_k(x(t_k^-)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_m, b], \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

is a PC-mild solution of equations (1.1)-(1.3).

Next theorem is based on Schaefer's fixed-point theorem; let us list the following hypotheses:

(H7) $f : J \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and there exist functions $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in L(J, R^+)$,

Such that

$$\|f(t, x, y, z)\| \leq c_1(t) + c_2(t)\|x\| + c_3(t)\|y\| + c_4(t)\|z\|, \quad t \in J, \quad x, y, z \in X.$$

(H8) $h, k : J \times J \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and there exist functions $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \in C(I, R^+)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(t, s, x)\| &\leq d_1(s) + d_2(s)\|x\| \\ \|k(t, s, y)\| &\leq d_3(s) + d_4(s)\|y\|, \quad x, y \in X. \end{aligned}$$

(H9) There exist $\Phi_k \in [J, R^+]$, such that's

$$\|I_k(x)\| \leq \phi_k(t)\|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

(H10) For all bounded subsets Br, the set

$$\Pi_{h\delta}(t) = \left\{ t_\delta(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{q-1} S_\delta(t-s)F(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^m T_\delta(t-t_k)I_k(x(t_k^-)) : x \in B_r \right\}$$

is relatively compact in X for arbitrary $h \in (0, t)$ and $\delta > 0$, where

$$T_\delta(t) = \int_\delta^\infty \xi_q(\theta)T(t^q\theta)d\theta, \quad S_\delta(t) = q \int_\delta^\infty \theta \xi_q(\theta)T(t^q\theta)d\theta.$$

(H11) For all bounded subsets Br, the set

$$\Pi_{h\delta}(t) = \left\{ t_\delta(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{q-1} S_\delta(t-s)F(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^m T_\delta(t-t_k)I_k(x(t_k^-)) : x \in B_r \right\}$$

is relatively compact in X for arbitrary $h \in (0, t)$ and $\delta > 0$.

Theorem 3.2. If the hypotheses (H6) - (H10) are satisfied, then the non local fractional impulsive integro-differential equations (1.1)-(1.3) has at least one mild solution $x \in PC[J, X]$.

Proof: From Theorem 3.1, the operator N is defined as follows:

$$(Nx)(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in [0, t_1], \\ \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \mathcal{T}(t-t_1)I_1(x(t_1^-)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_1, t_2], \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{T}(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}(t-t_k)I_k(x(t_k^-)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_m, b]. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

We shall prove the result in following steps:

Step 1 : Continuity of N on $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$) Let $x_n, x \in PC[J, X]$ such that

$$\|x_n - x\|_{PC} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow +\infty),$$

then $r = \sup_n \|x_n\|_{PC} < \infty$ and $\|x\|_{PC} < r$, for every $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$), we have from (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Nx_n)(t) - (Nx)(t)\| &\leq M_1 G \|x_n - x\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}(t-t_k)I_k(x_n(t_k^-)) - \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}(t-t_k)I_k(x(t_k^-)) \right\| \\ &+ \frac{qM_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left\| f(s, x_{ns}, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_{n\tau}) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_{n\tau}) d\tau) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) \right\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions f, I_k and g are continuous,

$$\begin{aligned} f(s, x_{ns}, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_{n\tau}) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_{n\tau}) d\tau) &\rightarrow \\ f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau), & \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By conditions (H7)-(H8) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(s, x_{n_s}, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_{n_\tau}) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_{n_\tau}) d\tau\right) - f\left(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau\right) \right\| \\ & \leq c_1(s) + c_2(s) \|x_{n_s}\| + c_3(s) \left\| \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_{n_\tau}) d\tau \right\| + c_4(s) \left\| \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_{n_\tau}) d\tau \right\| \\ & \quad + c_1(s) + c_2(s) \|x_s\| + c_3(s) \left\| \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau \right\| + c_4(s) \left\| \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau \right\| \\ & \leq 2c_1(s) + c_2(s) (\|x_n\| + \|x\|) + 2c_3(s) \int_0^s d_1(s) + c_3(s) \int_0^s d_2(s) (\|x_n\| + \|x\|) ds \\ & \quad + 2c_4(s) \int_0^b d_3(s) ds + c_4(s) \int_0^b d_4(s) (\|x_n\| + \|x\|) ds \\ & \leq 2c_1(s) + 2c_3(s) \int_0^s d_1(s) ds + 2c_4(s) \int_0^b d_3(s) ds \\ & \quad + \left(2c_2(s) + 2c_3(s) \int_0^s d_2(s) ds + 2c_4(s) \int_0^b d_4(s) ds \right) (\|x_n\| + \|x\|) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & (t-s)^{q-1} \left\| f\left(s, x_{n_s}, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_{n_\tau}) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_{n_\tau}) d\tau\right) - f\left(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau\right) \right\| \in L^1[J, R^+]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left\| f\left(s, x_{n_s}, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_{n_\tau}) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_{n_\tau}) d\tau\right) - f\left(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau\right) \right\| ds \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

It is easy to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(N_{x_n})(t) - (Nx)(t)\|_{PC} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus N is continuous on $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$; $(i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m)$.

Step 2: N maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $PC[J; X]$. From (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Nx)(t)\| & \leq \|\mathcal{T}(t)\| \|x_0 + g(x)\| + \frac{qM_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \\ & \quad \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left\| f\left(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau\right) \right\| ds \\ & \quad + m \|\mathcal{T}(t-t_k) I_k(x(t_k^-))\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau\right) \right\| \\ & \leq c_1(s) + c_3(s) \int_0^s d_1(\tau) d\tau + c_4(s) \int_0^b d_3(\tau) d\tau \\ & \quad + \left(c_2(s) + c_3(s) \int_0^s d_2(\tau) d\tau + c_4(s) \int_0^b d_4(\tau) d\tau \right) \|x\| \\ & \leq \Psi_1(s) + \Psi_2(s) \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

From the above we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Nx)(t)\| & \leq M_1 (\|x_0\| + G \|x\| + k_1) + m M_1 \Phi_k \|x\| \\ & \quad + \frac{b^q M_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t (\Psi_1(s) + \Psi_2(s) \|x\|) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $x \in B_r = \{x \in PC[J, X] : \|x\|_{PC} \leq r\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Nx)(t)\| & \leq M_1 (\|x_0\| + k_1) + \frac{b^q M_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^b (\Psi_1(s) ds \\ & \quad + (G + m M_1 \Phi_k + \frac{b^q M_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t \Psi_2(s) ds) r \\ & = \gamma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|(Nx)(t)\| \leq \gamma_1$, (i.e.,) N maps bounded sets to bounded sets in $PC[J, X]$.

Step 3: N(Br) is equicontinuous with Br on $(t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$).

For any $x \in B_r, t', t'' \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Nx)(t'') - (Nx)(t')\| & \leq \|\mathcal{T}(t'') - \mathcal{T}(t')\| \|x_0 + g(x)\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_0^{t''} (t''-s)^{q-1} S(t''-s) F(s) ds - \int_0^{t'} (t'-s)^{q-1} S(t'-s) F(s) ds \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}(t''-t_k) I_k(x(t_k^-)) - \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}(t'-t_k) I_k(x(t_k^-)) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

after some calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \|\mathcal{T}(t'') - \mathcal{T}(t')\| \|x_0 + g(x)\| + m \|\mathcal{T}(t''-t')\| \|I_k(x(t_k^-))\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_{t'}^{t''} (t''-s)^{q-1} S(t''-s) F(s) ds \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_0^{t'} (t''-s)^{q-1} - (t'-s)^{q-1} \right\| S(t''-s) F(s) ds \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_0^{t'} (t'-s)^{q-1} - (t''-s)^{q-1} \right\| S(t'-s) F(s) ds \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Using $\mathcal{T}(t)$ and $S(t)$ is uniformly continuous and the well-known inequality $|t'^\sigma - t''^\sigma| \leq (t''-t')^\sigma$ for $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < t' \leq t''$

Define II = NB, and $\Pi(t) = \{(Nx)(t) : x \in B_r\}$ for $t \in J$. Set

Set $\Pi_{h,\delta}(t) = \{(N_{h,\delta} x)(t) : x \in B_r\}$

Where

$$\Pi_{h,\delta}(t) = \left\{ \mathcal{T}_\delta(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{q-1} S_\delta(t-s) F(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{T}_\delta(t-t_k) I_k(x(t_k^-)) : x \in B_r \right\}. \quad (3.13)$$

From Lemma 2.3(ii),(iii) and (H10), we can verify that the set $\Pi(t)$ can be arbitrary approximated by the relatively compact set $\Pi_{h,\delta}(t)$.

Thus, N(Br)(t) is relatively compact in X.

Step 5: The set $E = \{x \in PC[J, X] : x = \lambda N x \text{ for is } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$ bounded.

Let $x \in E$, then

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda T(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) \\ \quad (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in [0, t_1], \\ \lambda T(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \lambda T(t-t_1) I_1(x(t_1^-)) + \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) \\ \quad (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_1, t_2], \\ \vdots \\ \lambda T(t)(x_0 + g(x)) + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^m T(t-t_k) I_k(x(t_k^-)) + \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) \\ \quad (\times) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau, \int_0^b k(s, \tau, x_\tau) d\tau) ds, & t \in (t_m, b]. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.14) we know

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \lambda M_1 (\|x_0\| + k_1 \frac{b^q M_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^b \psi_1(s) ds + \lambda (G + m M_1 \phi_k + \frac{b^q M_1}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t \psi_2(s) ds) \|x(t)\|. \quad (3.15)$$

Obviously there exists λ sufficiently small such that $\rho = 1 - M_1 k_{1\lambda} - \lambda G - \lambda m M_1 \phi_k > 0$ and then we get

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \frac{\lambda M_1}{\rho} \|x_0\| + \frac{\lambda b^q M_1}{\rho \Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^b \psi_1(s) ds \quad (3.16)$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda b^q M_1}{\rho \Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t \psi_2(s) \|x(s)\| ds. \quad (3.17)$$

Let

$$Q = \lambda M_1 \rho \|x_0\| + \frac{\lambda b^q M_1}{\rho \Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^b \psi_1(s) ds, f(t) = \frac{\lambda b^q M_1}{\rho \Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t \psi_2(s) ds. \quad (3.18)$$

It is clear that $f(t)$ is non negative continuous function on $[0, +\infty)$, generalized Bellman inequality implies that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq Q_e \int_0^t f(s) ds \leq Q_e \int_0^b f(s) ds = C_0; \quad (3.19)$$

where C_0 is a constant. Obviously, the set E is bounded on $(t; t+1]$, $(i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m)$. Since N is continuous and compact. From the Schaefer's fixed-point theorem, N has a fixed point, which is a PC-mild solution of (1.1)-(1.3). This completes the proof.

EXAMPLE

Consider the following fractional partial functional mixed differential equations with impulsive conditions of the form

$$D_t^q(z(t, \eta)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} z(t, \eta) + \Phi \left(t, z(t, \eta - r), \int_0^t h_1(t, v(x, \eta - r)) ds, \int_0^b k_1(t, v(x, \eta - r)) ds \right), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\text{for } (t, \eta - r) \in [0, T_0] \times (0, \pi), t \neq \frac{T_0}{2}$$

$$z(t, 0) = z(t, \pi) = 0, 0 \leq t \leq T_0 \quad (4.2)$$

$$z(0, \eta) = z_0(\eta) + g(z(t, \eta)), 0 < \eta < \pi \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Delta z|_{t=\frac{T_0}{2}} = I_1 \left(\frac{T_0}{2} \right) \quad (4.4)$$

where $T_0 > 0, 0 < q < 1, D_t^q$ is a Caputo fractional partial derivative of order $q \in (0, 1)$. To write the system (4.1)-(4.4) to the form (1.1)-(1.3), we take

(i) Let $X = L^2([0, \pi])$ as the state space and $z(t, \cdot) = \{z(t, \eta) : 0, \leq \eta \leq \pi\}$ as the state.

(ii) $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is defined as $Af = f''$ with domain

$$D(A) = \{f \in X : f', f'' \in X \text{ are absolutely continuous, } f(0) = f(\pi) = 0\}.$$

Then A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semi-group $\{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ in $L^2[0, \pi]$. Moreover $T(\cdot)$ is also strongly continuous such that $\|T(t)\| \leq M_1$ for each $t \geq 0$. A can be

written as $Ax = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2(x, e_n)e_n, x \in D(A)$, where

$e_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(nx), n \in N$ is an orthonormal set of eigen functions of A .

Furthermore, for $x \in X$ we get

$$T(t)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-n^2 t)(x, e_n)e_n.$$

We define the operators

$$f : J \times X \times X \times X \rightarrow X, g : J^2 \times X \rightarrow X, \text{ and}$$

$$k : J^2 \times X \rightarrow X \text{ by}$$

$$x_i = z(t, n-r), h(t, s, x_s) = h_1(t, v(x, n-r)), k(t, s, x_s) = k_1(t, v(x, n-r)).$$

Obviously, $h_1; k_1$ satisfy Lipschitz condition (H2), g satisfies Lipschitz condition (H3), and I_1 satisfies Lipschitz condition (H4). All together satisfies (H1). Also it is easy to verify conditions (H5) and (H6).

Thus functions $z; h_1; k_1; g$ and I_1 of the system (4.1)-(4.4) satisfies the hypotheses of the Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Therefore the system (4.1)-(4.4) can be written to the abstract form (1.1)-(1.3). Those phenomenon model equations (4.1)-(4.4), (refer [36]). Hence we conclude that the system

(4.1)-(4.4) has a mild solution.

CONCLUSION

Here we have established the existence of PC-mild solutions for non-local fractional impulsive functional integro-differential equations with finite delay. The proofs are obtained using Banach contraction principle and a fixed-point theorem due to Schaefer with generalized Bellman inequality. We have used the distributed characteristic operators to define the mild solution of the system taking care of all the controversy related to the solution operator (refer Remark 2.3). Also, we have considered a bounded linear operator which gave the standard semi-group in the exponential form, but one can consider unbounded operator and prove the results as a future work. For this, we refer the techniques given in [14, 15]. The same problem can also be extended for Trajectory controllability problem, which is a new direction to the field of fractional order differential and partial differential equations with its numerical approach, refer [16].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors wish to express their gratitude to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments for improving the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas S, Benchohra M, 'Guerekata GMN Topics in Fractional Differential Equations, Springer, New York, 2012.
2. Abada N, Benchohra M, Hammouche H Existence and Controllability results for non-densely defined impulsive semi-linear functional differential inclusions. *Journal of Differential Equations* 2009; 246: 3834-3863.
3. Aissani K Benchohra M Fractional integro-differential equations with state dependent delay. *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications* (2014); 9(1): 17-30.
4. Balachandran K, Kiruthika S, Trujillo JJ Existence results for fractional impulsive integro-differential equations in Banach spaces. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 2011; 16(4): 1970-1977.
5. Baleanu D, Gunavenc ZB, Machdo JAT *New Trends in Nanotechnology and Fractional Calculus Applications*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2010.
6. Baleanu D, Diethelm K, Scalas E, Trujillo JJ, *Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods* (Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos). World Scientific 2012.
7. Bazhlekova E *Fractional Evolution equations in Banach Spaces*, in: University Press Facilities, Eindhoven University of Technology 2001.
8. M. Benchohra, B. A. Slimani, Existence and uniqueness of solutions to impulsive fractional differential equations, *Electronic Journal of differential equations* (2009) 10: 1-11.
9. Benchohra M, Ntouyas S Existence and Controllability results for multivalued semilinear differential equations with non-local conditions. *Soochow Journal of Mathematics* 2003; 29:157-170.
10. Byszewski L Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 1991; 162: 494-505.
11. Byszewski L Existence of solutions of semi-linear functional differential evolution non-local problem. *Nonlinear Analysis* 1998; 341: 65-72.
12. Chalishajar DN, Karthikeyan k Anguraj A Existence results for impulsive perturbed partial neutral functional differential equations in Frechet spaces. *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems, Series A: Mathematical Analysis* 2015; 22(1): 25-45.
13. Chalishajar DN, Karthikeyan k Trujillo JJ Existence of Mild Solutions for Fractional Impulsive Semi-linear Integro-differential Equations in Banach Spaces. *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis* 2012; 19(4): 4556.
14. Chalishajar DN, Acharya FS Controllability of Neutral Impulsive Differential Inclusions with Non-Local Conditions. *Applied Mathematics* 2011; 2: 1486-1496. DOI:10.4236/am.2011.212211
15. Chalishajar DN, Heena Chalishajar, Acharya FS Controllability of Second Order Neutral Impulsive Differential Inclusions with non-local conditions, *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems, Series A: Mathematical Analysis* 2012; 19: 107-134.
16. Chalishajar DN, Heena Chalishajar, Trajectory Controllability of Second Order Nonlinear Integro-Differential System: An Analytical and a Numerical Estimation. *Differential Equations and Dynamical System*, Springer 2014; DOI:10.1007/s12591-014-0220z
17. Chen F, Chen A, Wang X On solutions for impulsive fractional functional differential equations, *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems* 2009; 17(4): 379-391.
18. Darwish MA, Henderson J, Ntouyas SK, Fractional order semi-linear mixed type functional differential equations and inclusions. *Nonlinear Studies* 2009; 16(2): 197-219.
19. Debboche A, Baleanu D Controllability of fractional evolution non-local impulsive quasilinear delay integro-differential systems. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 2011; 62(3): 1442-1450.
20. Diethelm K *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations: Lecture notes in Mathematics*, 2010.
21. Hernandez E, Rabello M, Henriquez H Existence of solutions for impulsive partial neutral functional differential equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* (2007); 331(2): 1135-1158.
22. Kilbas A, Srivastava H, Trujillo JJ *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier, Amsterdam 2006.
23. Lakshmikantham V, Leela S, Vasundhara Devi J *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Scientific Publishers 2009; 19.
24. Luchko YF, Rivero M, Trujillo JJ, Velasco MP, Fractional models, non-locality and complex systems, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 2010; 59(3): 1048-1056.

25. Machado JA, Ravichandran C, Rivero M, Trujillo JJ Controllability results for impulsive mixed type functional integro-differential evolution equations with non-local conditions. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013; 66: 1-16.
26. K. S. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1993.
27. Mophou GM, Guerekata GMN Mild solutions for semi-linear fractional differential equations. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2009; 21: 1-9.
28. Mophou GM, Guerekata GMN Existence of mild solutions of some semi-linear neutral fractional functional evolution equations with infinite delay. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 2010; 216: 61-69.
29. Mophou GM, Guerekata GMN Existence of mild solution for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions. *Semigroup Forum* 2009; 79(2): 315-322.
30. Pandey DN, Ujlayan A, Bahuguna D On solution to fractional order integro differential equations with analytic semi-groups. *Nonlinear Analysis* 2009; 71(9): 3690-3698.
31. Pazy A *Semi-groups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer New York USA, 1983.
32. Podlubny I, *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press New York 1999.
33. Qin H, Zuo X, Liu J, Existence and Controllability results for fractional impulsive Integro differential systems in Banach Spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2013; 1-12.
34. Rashid MH, El-Qaderi Y, Semilinear fractional integro-differential equations with compact semigroup. *Nonlinear Analysis* 2009; 71(12): 6276-6282.
35. Ravichandran C, Baleanu D, Existence results for fractional neutral functional integro differential evolution equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2013; 215(1): 1-12.
36. Ravichandran C, Trujillo JJ Controllability of impulsive fractional functional integro-differential in Banach Spaces, *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications* 2013; 1-8.
37. Schaefer H, *Über die methode der a priori schranken*, *Mathematische Annalen* 1955; 129: 415-416.
38. Tarasov VE *Fractional Dynamics, Application of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media*, Springer, HEP, 2010
39. Vijayakumar V, Ravichandran C, Murugesu R Existence of mild solutions for nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional neutral evolution equations with infinite delay. *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications* 2014; 1-14.
40. Vijayakumar V, Ravichandran C, Murugesu R Approximate controllability for a class of fractional neutral integro-differential inclusions with state dependent delay. *Nonlinear studies*, 2013; 20(4): 511-530.
41. Vijayakumar V, Ravichandran C, Murugesu R, Trujillo JJ Controllability result for a class of fractional semilinear integro-differential inclusions via resolvent operators. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 2014; 247: 152-161.
42. Wang JR, Feckan M, Zhou Y On the new concept of solutions and existence results for impulsive fractional evolution equations. *Dynamics of Partial Differential Equations* 2011; 8(4): 345-361.
43. Liu Y, Ahmad B A study of impulsive multiterm fractional differential equations with single and multiple base points and applications. *The Scientific World J* 2014; 1-28, ID 1943-1946.
44. Zhang X, Huang X, Liu Z, The existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for impulsive fractional equations with nonlocal conditions and infinite delay. *Nonlinear Analysis Hybrid Systems* 2010; 4: 775-781.
45. Shu XB, Lai Y, Chen Y, The existence of mild solutions for impulsive fractional partial differential equations. *Nonlinear Analysis* 2011; 74(5): 2003-2011.
46. Kai Diethelm *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin 2010.
47. Monje CA, Vinagre BM, Feliu V, Chen Y Tuning and auto-tuning of fractional order controllers for industry applications, *Control Engineering Practice* 2008; 16: 798-812.
48. Lazarevic MP Finite time stability analysis of PD fractional control of robotic time-delay systems. *Mechanics Research Communications* 2006; 33(2): 269-279.
49. Guurbuuz F On the behavior of a class of fractional type rough higher order commutators on generalized weighted Morrey spaces *J Coupled Syst Multiscale Dyn* 2018; 6(3): 191-198.
50. Guurbuuz F Sublinear operators with rough kernel generated by fractional integrals and their commutators on generalized Morrey spaces. *J Sci Eng Res* 2017; 4(2): 144-163.
51. Guurbuuz F Some estimates for generalized commutators of rough fractional maximal and integral operators on generalized weighted Morrey spaces. *Canad Math Bull* 2017; 60(1): 131-145.
52. Guurbuuz F Operators with a rough kernel generated by fractional integrals and local Campanato space estimates for commutators with rough kernel on generalized local Morrey spaces. *Int J Appl Math Stat (IJAMAS)* 2017; 56(3): 52-62.
53. Guurbuuz F Multi-sublinear operators generated by multilinear fractional integral operators and local Campanato space estimates for commutators on the product generalized local Morrey spaces. *Adv Math (China)* 2018; 47(6): 855-880.